UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,259	04/24/2006	Gisela Meister	MEIS3002/JEK	4669	
23364 BACON & THO	7590 08/03/201 <sup>1</sup> OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER			
625 SLATERS	LANE	DOAN, TRANG T			
FOURTH FLOO ALEXANDRIA	or A, VA 22314-1176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2431		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/03/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/53	1,259		MEISTER ET AL.				
		Exami	ner		Art Unit				
			G DOAN		2431				
The MAIL Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communi	cation appears on	the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVER IS  - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONTI  - If NO period for repl  - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FO LONGER, FROM THE MA may be available under the provisions of HS from the mailing date of this comm y is specified above, the maximum sta in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months at adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS CO o event, howe nd will expire S application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) Responsiv	ve to communication(s) file	d on <i>27 Mav 2010</i>	).						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 May 2010</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
′ <del>=</del>		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clai	ms								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∐ Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7)∐ Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.								
8)∏ Claim(s) _	are subject to restric	tion and/or electio	n requirer	ment.					
Application Papers	<b>;</b>								
9) <mark></mark> The specif	ication is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawir	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant n	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119								
a)∏ All b)[	· ·-								
2.☐ Cer	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3.☐ Cop	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
арр	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/27/2010</u> .									

Application/Control Number: 10/531,259 Page 2

Art Unit: 2431

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 05/27/2010.

- 2. Claims 10 and 13 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are pending for consideration.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 6. Claims 1-6 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(e) as being anticipated by Wheeler et al. (US20020016913) (hereinafter Wheeler).
- 7. Regarding claims 1 and 10, discloses a method for effecting a secure electronic transaction on a terminal using a portable data carrier arranged to perform different quality user authentication methods, wherein the portable data carrier performs a user

Application/Control Number: 10/531,259

Page 3

Art Unit: 2431

authentication method, the portable data carrier confirms the proof of authentication to the terminal, and the portable data carrier then performs a security-establishing operation within the electronic transaction, comprising the step of creating quality information by the portable data carrier about said user authentication method used and attaching said authentication quality information to the result of the security-establishing operation, wherein the difference in quality of user authentication varies between an inherently relatively lower quality and an inherently relatively higher quality from a security perspective (Wheeler: see figure 29, paragraph 0332: IC card is the portable data carrier, paragraph 0340: authentication process is established between the IC card and a card reader of a secure building and paragraph 0345: IC card exports digital signature, requested user information, and value of the identification marker to a card reader associated with a secure building. The identification marker indicates a particular verification data types for which any verification data input has been received by the IC card).

- 8. Regarding claim 2 and 11, Wheeler further discloses wherein the security-establishing operation performed by the portable data carrier comprises creating a digital signature (Wheeler: paragraphs 0345-0346).
- 9. Regarding claim 3, Wheeler further discloses wherein the authentication of the user is performed by presentation of a biometric feature (Wheeler: paragraph 0347: biometric verification data).

Application/Control Number: 10/531,259

Art Unit: 2431

10. Regarding claim 4, Wheeler further discloses wherein the authentication of the user is performed by presentation of a physiological or behavior-based feature characteristic of a user (Wheeler: paragraph 0347: biometric...retina).

Page 4

- 11. Regarding claim 5, Wheeler further discloses wherein the authentication of the user is performed by proof of knowledge of a secret (Wheeler: paragraph 0123).
- 12. Regarding claims 6 and 12, Wheeler further discloses wherein at least two different authentication methods of different quality are offered for authentication of the user (Wheeler: paragraph 0335 and paragraph 0339).
- 13. Regarding claim 8, Wheeler further discloses wherein no quality information is produced for an authentication method (Wheeler: paragraph 0335).
- 14. Regarding claim 9, Wheeler further discloses wherein a user is asked to select an authentication method (Wheeler: paragraph 0362: user to select a conventional login using an ID or password or to login using his IC card).
- 15. Regarding claim 13, Wheeler further discloses said terminal including a device arranged to cause a user to select one of at least two possible different quality authentication methods (Wheeler: paragraph 0362).

Application/Control Number: 10/531,259 Page 5

Art Unit: 2431

16. Regarding claim 14, Wheeler further discloses a system for effecting a secure electronic transaction within which the quality of authentication of a user of the system is ascertained, comprising a portable data carrier according to claim 10 and a terminal according to claim 13 (Wheeler: paragraph 0417).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler in view of Miyashita (US 7403765) (hereinafter Miyashita).
- 19. Regarding claim 7, Wheeler does not disclose wherein the particular authentication methods not used are disabled. However, Miyashita discloses wherein the particular authentication methods not used are disabled (Miyashita: see figure 1, item S301; and column 5 lines 40-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled art at the time the invention was made to have included in Wheeler the feature of Miyashita as discussed above because the plural authenticating means of the PIN input authentication and the fingerprint authentication can be used in combination,

Art Unit: 2431

so that plural security levels can be implemented by combining the plural authenticating means (Miyashita: column 6, lines 40-45).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRANG DOAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0740. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,259 Page 7

Art Unit: 2431

Examiner, Art Unit 2431

/Kaveh Abrishamkar/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431